(3)

CFI 602

(1).

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 1786 OF 2003

IN THE MATTER OF

K.P. SHARMA AGE 45 YEARS SON OF SHRI PARAS RAM SHARMA, Sr. HORTICULTURE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, PERMANENT RESIDENT OF MORENA (M.P.), AT PRESENT – OFFICE OF THE ASSTT. DIRECTOR HORTICULTURE, THAKUR PARA, KAWARDHA (C.G.)

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY-DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCE AND PENSION, KHAN MARKET NEW DELHI

2. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH, THROUGH SECRETARY, (AGRICULTURE) MANTRALAYA, VALLABH BHAWAN, BHOPAL (M.P.)

P. R. No. [redacted] Presented by Shri Pradeep Ukey, Advocate dated 18.06.03

3. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, THROUGH SECRETARY (AGRICULTURE) , MANTRALAYA, D.K.S. BHAWAN, G.E. ROAD, RAIPUR (C.G.)

4 The State Reorganisation Cell Through the Secretary Vallabh Bhavan Bhopal MP

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEMED FIT

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 1786 of 2003

Petitioner K. P. Sharma

Versus

Respondents Union of India & others

Shri M. K. Bhaduri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondents No. 2 and 4.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(6th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is working on the post of Sr. Horticulture Development Officer in the Agriculture Department, is a permanent resident of District- Morena in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur